Record Nr. UNINA9910467902403321 Autore Fazal Tanisha M. Titolo Wars of law: unintended consequences in the regulation of armed conflict / / Tanisha M. Fazal Ithaca;; London:,: Cornell University Press,, 2018 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-5017-1979-3 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (342 pages) Collana Cornell scholarship online 341.6 Disciplina War (International law) - History Soggetti Humanitarian law - History Conflict of laws - History Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Previously issued in print: 2018. Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Declaring War and Peace -- 1. The Proliferation and Codification of the Laws of War -- 2. International Recognition, Compliance Costs, and the Formalities of War -- 3. Declarations of War in Interstate War -- 4. Compliance with the Laws of War in Interstate War -- 5. Peace Treaties in Interstate War --6. Declarations of Independence in Civil Wars -- 7. Secessionism and Civilian Targeting -- 8. Peace Treaties in Civil War -- Evasion, Engagement, and the Laws of War -- Notes -- References -- Index Sommario/riassunto In Wars of Law, Tanisha M. Fazal assesses the unintended consequences of the proliferation of the laws of war for the commencement, conduct, and conclusion of wars over the course of the past one hundred fifty years. After a brief history of the codification of international humanitarian law (IHL), Fazal outlines three main arguments: early laws of war favored belligerents but more recent additions have constrained them; this shift may be attributable to a growing divide between lawmakers and those who must comply with IHL; and lawmakers have been consistently inattentive to how rebel groups might receive these laws. By using the laws of war strategically, Fazal suggests, belligerents in both interstate and civil wars relate

those laws to their big-picture goals. In Wars of Law, we learn that, as

codified IHL proliferates and changes in character-with an ever-greater focus on protected persons-states fighting interstate wars become increasingly reluctant to step over any bright lines that unequivocally oblige them to comply with IHL. On the other hand, Fazal argues, secessionists fighting wars for independence are more likely to engage with the laws of war because they have strong incentives to persuade the international community that, if admitted to the club of states, they will be good and capable members of that club. Why have states stopped issuing formal declarations of war? Why have states stopped concluding formal peace treaties? Why are civil wars especially likely to end in peace treaties today? Addressing such basic questions about international conflict, Fazal provides a lively and intriguing account of the implications of the laws of war.