Record Nr. UNINA9910465645503321 Autore Halpin Darren Titolo Groups, representation and democracy [[electronic resource]]: between promise and practice / / Darren Halpin Manchester,: Manchester University Press, c2010 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-78170-169-5 1-84779-302-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (329 p.) Collana Perspectives on democratic practice Disciplina 322 Soggetti Associations, institutions, etc Social groups - Political aspects Social participation Representative government and representation Democracy Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Includes bibliographical references (p. [290]-305) and index. Nota di bibliografia 9780719076527; 9780719076527; Copyright Page; Contents; List of Nota di contenuto tables: Preface: Acknowledgements: List of abbreviations: 1 Groups as agents of democracy?; 2 Interest group aliases: towards definitional commensurability?; 3 Democratic expectations: there presentation account; 4 Between representation and solidarity:(re)calibrating democratic expectations; 5 Democratic promises and practices:some empirical evidence; 6 The orthodox case: the drift from representation towards solidarity*; 7 Making Olson work: rejuvenating'supply-side' explanations 8 Are 'protest businesses' contemporary phenomena?*9 Democratic transformation: fulfilling the promise of representation*; 10 Between promise and practice; References; Index Sommario/riassunto Whether called pressure groups, NGOs, social movement organisations or organised civil society, the value of 'groups' to the policy process, to economic growth, to governance, to political representation and to

democracy has always been contested. However, there seems to be a

contemporary resurgence in this debate largely centred on their

democratising potential: can groups effectively link citizens to political institutions and policy processes? Are groups an antidote to emerging democratic deficits? Or do groups themselves face challenges in demonstrating their legitimacy and representativen