1. Record Nr. UNINA9910465482203321

Autore Marcus Eric <1968->

Titolo Rational causation [[electronic resource] /] / Eric Marcus

Pubbl/distr/stampa Cambridge, Mass., : Harvard University Press, 2012

ISBN 0-674-06533-6

0-674-06874-2

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (279 p.)

Classificazione CC 5500

122 Disciplina

Act (Philosophy) Soggetti

Agent (Philosophy)

Causation

Electronic books.

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Introduction -- 1. Rational Explanation of

> Belief -- 2. Rational Explanation of Action -- 3. (Non-Human) Animals and Their Reasons -- 4. Rational Explanation and Rational Causation --

5. Events and States -- 6. Physicalism -- Acknowledgments -- Index

Sommario/riassunto

We explain what people think and do by citing their reasons, but how do such explanations work, and what do they tell us about the nature of reality? Contemporary efforts to address these questions are often motivated by the worry that our ordinary conception of rationality contains a kernel of supernaturalism-a ghostly presence that meditates on sensory messages and orchestrates behavior on the basis of its ethereal calculations. In shunning this otherworldly conception. contemporary philosophers have focused on the project of "naturalizing" the mind, viewing it as a kind of machine that converts sensory input and bodily impulse into thought and action. Eric Marcus rejects this choice between physicalism and supernaturalism as false and defends a third way. He argues that philosophers have failed to take seriously the idea that rational explanations postulate a distinctive sort of causation-rational causation. Rational explanations do not reveal the same sorts of causal connections that explanations in the

natural sciences do. Rather, rational causation draws on the theoretical

and practical inferential abilities of human beings. Marcus defends this position against a wide array of physicalist arguments that have captivated philosophers of mind for decades. Along the way he provides novel views on, for example, the difference between rational and nonrational animals and the distinction between states and events.