

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910464226203321
Autore	Fowler Linda L. <1945->
Titolo	Watchdogs on the hill : the decline of congressional oversight of U.S. foreign relations // Linda L. Fowler
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Princeton, New Jersey ; ; Oxford, [England] : , : Princeton University Press, , 2015 ©2015
ISBN	0-691-15162-8 1-4008-6646-4
Edizione	[Course Book]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (279 pages) : illustrations
Disciplina	328.73/0746
Soggetti	Legislative oversight - United States International relations Electronic books. United States Foreign relations United States Politics and government
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Illustrations -- Tables -- Preface -- Acknowledgments -- PART I. Information, Regular Order, and Democratic Accountability in International Affairs -- PART II. Oversight Hearings and Regular Order in U.S. Foreign Relations -- PART III. Reclaiming Congressional War Powers -- APPENDIX A. Coding Congressional Committee Hearings -- APPENDIX B. Description of Dependent and Independent Variables -- APPENDIX C. Methodological Appendix to Chapter 3 -- APPENDIX D. Methodological Appendix to Chapter 4 -- APPENDIX E. Methodological Appendix to Chapter 5 -- References -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	An essential responsibility of the U.S. Congress is holding the president accountable for the conduct of foreign policy. In this in-depth look at formal oversight hearings by the Senate Armed Services and Foreign Relations committees, Linda Fowler evaluates how the legislature's most visible and important watchdogs performed from the mid-twentieth century to the present. She finds a noticeable reduction in

public and secret hearings since the mid-1990s and establishes that American foreign policy frequently violated basic conditions for democratic accountability. Committee scrutiny of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, she notes, fell below levels of oversight in prior major conflicts. Fowler attributes the drop in watchdog activity to growing disinterest among senators in committee work, biases among members who join the Armed Services and Foreign Relations committees, and motives that shield presidents, particularly Republicans, from public inquiry. Her detailed case studies of the Truman Doctrine, Vietnam War, Panama Canal Treaty, humanitarian mission in Somalia, and Iraq War illustrate the importance of oversight in generating the information citizens need to judge the president's national security policies. She argues for a reassessment of congressional war powers and proposes reforms to encourage Senate watchdogs to improve public deliberation about decisions of war and peace. Watchdogs on the Hill investigates America's national security oversight and its critical place in the review of congressional and presidential powers in foreign policy.
