1. Record Nr. UNINA9910464148903321 Autore Sourgens Frederic Gilles Titolo A nascent common law: the process of decisionmaking in international legal disputes between states and foreign investors / / by Frederic Gilles Sourgens Pubbl/distr/stampa Leiden, The Netherlands:,: Koninklijke Brill,, 2015 ©2015 ISBN 90-04-28820-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (426 p.) Collana International Litigation in Practice, , 1874-0502; ; Volume 9 Disciplina 346/.092 Soggetti Judicial process Common law Jurisdiction (International law) Procedure (Law) Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Monografia Livello bibliografico Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Preliminary Material -- 1 Critical Mass: The Unique Role of Investor-Nota di contenuto State Arbitration in International Law -- 2 Theoretical Underpinnings of the Adjudicatory Framework -- 3 Stability of the Adjudicatory Framework -- 4 Iura Novit Curia -- 5 The Problem of Jurisdictional Judgment -- 6 Proof of Consent -- 7 Establishment of Jurisdictional Facts -- 8 The Inductive Process of Jurisdictional Decisionmaking -- 9 The Precedent Problem -- 10 Law as Process -- 11 The Common Law Solution -- 12 Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose --Bibliography -- Index. Sommario/riassunto In A Nascent Common Law: The Process of Decisionmaking in International Legal Disputes Between States and Foreign Investors Frédéric Gilles Sourgens submits that investor-state dispute resolution relies upon an inductive, common law decisionmaking process, which reveals a necessary plurality of first principles within investor-state dispute resolution. Relying upon, amongst others, Wittgenstein's Philosophical Investigations, the book explains how this plurality of

first principles does not devolve into arbitrary indeterminacy. A Nascent

Common Law provides an alternative account to current theoretical conceptions of investor-state arbitration. It explains that these theories cannot adequately resolve a key empirical challenge: tribunals frequently reach facially inconsistent results on similar questions of law. Sourgens makes an inductive approach, focused on the manner of decisionmaking by tribunals in the context of specific records that can explain this inconsistency.