Record Nr.	UNINA9910463168503321
Autore	Brodwin Paul
Titolo	Everyday Ethics : Voices from the Front Line of Community Psychiatry / / Paul Brodwin
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berkeley, CA : , : University of California Press, , [2013] ©2013
ISBN	0-520-95452-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (225 p.)
Disciplina	649.122
Soggetti	Community mental health services - Professional ethics Community psychiatry Psychiatrists Community Mental Health Services
	Community Psychiatry - ethics Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter Contents Acknowledgments Introduction. The Terrain of Everyday Ethics 1. Genealogy of the Treatment Model 2. Expert knowledge and Encounters with Futility 3. Treatment Plans 4. Representative Payeeships 5. Commitment Orders 6. Coercion, Confidentiality, and the Moral Contours of Work Bibliography Index
Sommario/riassunto	This book explores the moral lives of mental health clinicians serving the most marginalized individuals in the US healthcare system. Drawing on years of fieldwork in a community psychiatry outreach team, Brodwin traces the ethical dilemmas and everyday struggles of front line providers. On the street, in staff room debates, or in private confessions, these psychiatrists and social workers confront ongoing challenges to their self-image as competent and compassionate advocates. At times they openly question the coercion and forced- dependency built into the current system of care. At other times they justify their use of extreme power in the face of loud opposition from clients. This in-depth study exposes the fault lines in today's

1.

community psychiatry. It shows how people working deep inside the system struggle to maintain their ideals and manage a chronic sense of futility. Their commentaries about the obligatory and the forbidden also suggest ways to bridge formal bioethics and the realities of mental health practice. The experiences of these clinicians pose a single overarching question: how should we bear responsibility for the most vulnerable among us?