

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910462663403321
Titolo	Disagreement and skepticism / / edited by Diego E. Machuca
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York : , : Routledge, , 2013
ISBN	1-283-97282-4 0-203-07334-7 1-135-10306-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (313 p.)
Collana	Routledge studies in contemporary philosophy ; ; 46
Altri autori (Persone)	MachucaDiego E
Disciplina	149/.73
Soggetti	Skepticism Reasoning Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Front Cover; Disagreement and Skepticism; Copyright Page; Contents; Acknowledgments; 1. Editor's Introduction: Diego E. Machuca; 2. Disagreeing with the Pyrrhonist?: Otavio Bueno; 3. The Role of Disagreement in Pyrrhonian and Cartesian Skepticism: Markus Lammenranta; 4. A Neo-Pyrrhonian Approach to the Epistemology of Disagreement: Diego E. Machuca; 5. Moral Disagreement: Actual Vs. Possible: Folke Tersman; 6. The Fragility of Moral Disagreement: Zed Adams; 7. How Skeptical is the Equal Weight View?: Brandon Carey and Jonathan Matheson 8. Disagreement, Skepticism, and Track-record Arguments: Duncan Pritchard9. Disagreement and Defeat: Clayton Littlejohn; 10. Disagreement: The Skeptical Arguments from Peerhood and Symmetry: Nathan L. King; 11. Dealing with Disagreement from the First-Person Perspective: A Probabilist Proposal: Trent Dougherty; 12. The Problem of Historical Variability: Nathan Ballantyne; 13. Is Philosophical Knowledge Possible?: Hilary Kornblith; 14. Defending Philosophy in the Face of Systematic Disagreement: Sanford Goldberg; Contributors; Name Index; Subject Index
Sommario/riassunto	The thirteen essays in this volume explore for the first time the possible skeptical implications of disagreement in different areas and

from different perspectives, with an emphasis in the current debate about the epistemic significance of disagreement. They represent a new contribution to the study of the connection between disagreement and skepticism in epistemology, metaethics, ancient philosophy, and metaphilosophy.

---