

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910462389703321
Autore	Easter David
Titolo	Britain and the confrontation with Indonesia, 1960-1966 // David Easter
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York : , : Tauris Academic Studies, , 2004
ISBN	0-85772-115-1 1-280-87678-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (272 p.)
Collana	International library of twentieth century history ; ; 1
Disciplina	327.410598/09/046
Soggetti	Electronic books. Great Britain Foreign relations Indonesia Indonesia Foreign relations Great Britain Great Britain Foreign relations 1945-1964 Great Britain Foreign relations 1964-1979 Great Britain Foreign relations Malaysia Malaysia Foreign relations Great Britain Indonesia Foreign relations Malaysia Malaysia Foreign relations Indonesia
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; List of Abbreviations; List of Key Office Holders; Map of Indonesia and Malaysia; Introduction; 1. The decision to form Malaysia, 1960-January 1962; 2. The Brunei revolt and the start of Confrontation, January 1962-April 1963; 3. Pressing ahead with Malaysia, April-Septemeber 1963; 4. Coping with the Confrontation, September 1963-April 1964; 5. Escalation and rethink, May-October 1964; 6. Labour and the Confrontation, October 1964-March 1965; 7. Exit Singapore, April-September 1965; 8. The coup attempt in Indonesia, September 1965-January 1966
Sommario/riassunto	The confrontation with Indonesia cut to the heart of Britain's desire to retain global power status in the 1960s and was central to decolonisation and British defence policy across South-East Asia. Factors such as the need to maintain a military base in Singapore drove

strategy and this confrontation became a major commitment - close at times to escalating into full-scale regional war. However, 'the Confrontation' was not recorded as a conflict of this scale, and Britain was cast into a passive and defensive role. Here, David Easter reveals a radically different view, persuasively making

---