1. Record Nr. UNINA9910462380203321 Kim Dong-Hyuk Autore Titolo Early Biblical Hebrew, late Biblical Hebrew, and linguistic variability [[electronic resource]]: a sociolinguistic evaluation of the linguistic dating of Biblical texts / / by Dong-Hyuk Kim Leiden;; Boston,: Brill, 2013 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-283-85448-1 90-04-23561-2 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (202 p.) Collana Supplements to Vetus Testamentum, , 0083-5889;; v. 156 Disciplina 492.4/7 492.47 Soggetti Hebrew language - History Hebrew language - Variation Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p.[163]-173) and index. Nota di contenuto Preliminary Material -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Linguistic Dating of Biblical Hebrew Texts: A Survey of Scholarship -- 3. The Variation Analysis of the Hebrew Bible Corpus: The Method -- 4. Variability, Linquistic Change, and Two Types of Changes: A Theoretical Assessment -- 5. Variables of Biblical Hebrew: A Sociolinguistic Analysis of the Purported EBH and LBH Features -- 6. A Sociolinguistic Evaluation of the Linguistic Dating of Biblical Texts: Summary and Conclusions --Bibliography -- Index of Authors -- Index of Scriptural References. Sommario/riassunto In Early Biblical Hebrew, Late Biblical Hebrew, and Linguistic Variability, Dong-Hyuk Kim attempts to adjudicate between the two seemingly irreconcilable views over the linguistic dating of biblical texts. Whereas the traditional opinion, represented by Avi Hurvitz, believes that Late Biblical Hebrew was distinct from Early Biblical Hebrew and thus one can date biblical texts on linguistic grounds, the more recent view argues that Early and Late Biblical Hebrew were merely stylistic choices

through the entire biblical period. Using the variationist approach of (historical) sociolinguistics and on the basis of the sociolinguistic concepts of linguistic variation and different types of language change,

 Kim convincingly argues that there is a third way of looking at the issue.