

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910462312303321
Autore	King Jeff <1973->
Titolo	Judging social rights // Jeff King, University College London [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2012
ISBN	1-107-22782-8 1-139-41133-0 1-280-68293-0 9786613659873 1-139-42269-3 1-139-05175-X 1-139-41967-6 1-139-42172-7 1-139-41762-2 1-139-42376-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xxvii, 370 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Collana	Cambridge studies in constitutional law ; ; 3
Disciplina	342.08/5
Soggetti	Social rights - United States Judicial power - Social aspects - United States Constitutional law - United States Political questions and judicial power - United States Social justice - United States Social rights - Philosophy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction : aims and methods -- Part I. The Case for Constitutional Social Rights -- The case for social rights -- The value of courts in light of the alternatives -- A basic interpretive approach -- Part II. A Theory of Judicial Restraint -- Institutional approaches to judicial restraint -- Democratic legitimacy -- Polycentricity -- Expertise -- Flexibility -- Part III. Incrementalism -- Incrementalism as a general theme.
Sommario/riassunto	Countries that now contemplate constitutional reform often grapple

with the question of whether to constitutionalise social rights. This book presents an argument for why, under the right conditions, doing so can be a good way to advance social justice. In making such a case, the author considers the nature of the social minimum, the role of courts among other institutions, the empirical record of judicial impact, and the role of constitutional text. He argues, however, that when enforcing such rights, judges ought to adopt a theory of judicial restraint structured around four principles: democratic legitimacy, polycentricity, expertise and flexibility. These four principles, when taken collectively, commend an incrementalist approach to adjudication. The book combines theoretical, doctrinal, empirical and comparative analysis, and is written to be accessible to lawyers, social scientists, political theorists and human rights advocates.
