

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910461692503321
Autore	Wilson Larry
Titolo	Criminal major case management : Persons of Interest Priority Assessment Tool (POIPAT) // Larry Wilson
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Boca Raton : , : CRC Press, , 2012
ISBN	0-429-25253-6 1-4665-5928-4 1-4398-9862-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (258 p.)
Disciplina	363.25
Soggetti	Criminal behavior, Prediction of Criminal investigation - Psychological aspects Criminal investigation Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Front Cover; Contents; CD Contents; Preface; Acknowledgements; About the Author; Section I: The POIPAT System: Introduction to the POIPAT System; 1. Introduction; 2. Profiling and the POIPAT; 3. POIPAT Element Development Basic Rules; 4. POIPAT Element Weighting Guide; 5. Establishing Priority Levels' Points Ranges; 6. Standard POIPAT Elements Library; 7. POI Elimination Status Coding System; Section II: Jack The Ripper-Case Study; 8. Jack the Ripper-Investigations; 9. Jack the Ripper-Criminal Profiles; 10. Creating the Jack the Ripper POIPAT; 11. Jack the Ripper POIPAT Instructional Guide 12. Jack the Ripper Suspects 13. Scoring the Jack the Ripper Suspects; 14. Jack the Ripper-Establishing a Priority Range; 15. Jack the Ripper Case Study-Epilogue; Appendix A: Sample POIPAT; Appendix B: POIPAT Process Map; Appendix C: SSA John Douglas Profile Report; Appendix D: Dr. Thomas Bond Profile Report; Appendix E: Jack the Ripper POIPAT; Appendix F: Jack the Ripper-POIPAT Instructional Guide; Appendix G: Jack the Ripper Suspect POIPATs; Bibliography
Sommario/riassunto	Preface Prioritizing suspects or persons of interest (POIs) is nothing new. As long as investigators have been investigating cases involving

multiple suspects, they have been deciding whom on their list they feel is the most likely to have committed their offence(s). Sometimes this is based on the investigation and forensic evidence, and often times on just a gut feeling or intuition. This approach has served investigators well over the years when the suspect/ POI pool was relatively limited. However, when that pool becomes very large as is typically the case in high profile investigations, establishing a priority ranking is more difficult but this is when it is most important. It allows management to direct investigative resources to those suspects/POIs who are most likely to have committed the offence(s). This is an effective and efficient use of resources. It not only may save a significant amount of money, but more importantly it could save additional victims. The problem with using the 'gut feeling' or 'intuitive' approach to prioritizing suspects was illustrated by a research experiment carried out by the author. Twenty-nine files containing background information on 29 individuals who had been identified as POIs in a major serial homicide investigation were given to three very experienced investigators assigned to that investigation. They were asked to evaluate each of the files based on their experience and intuition as to what they felt the priority rating should be on each of the files (POI-1, POI-2 or POI-3). They did this exercise independently of each other without discussion. The results were as follows They all agreed on 13 files. Twelve of those files were in the low priority range (POI-3)--
