

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910461523803321
Autore	Li Mingjiang
Titolo	Mao's China and the Sino-Soviet split : ideological dilemma / / Mingjiang Li
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon ; ; New York : , : Routledge, , 2012
ISBN	1-283-45850-0 9786613458506 1-136-45544-2 0-203-12632-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (224 p.)
Collana	Routledge contemporary China series ; ; 79
Disciplina	327.5104709/045
Soggetti	Communism - China - History - 20th century Electronic books. China Foreign relations Soviet Union Soviet Union Foreign relations China China Politics and government 1949-1976 China Foreign relations 1949-1976
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Cover; Mao's China and the Sino-Soviet Split; Copyright Page; Contents; Acknowledgements; 1. Introduction; 2. Ideological dilemma in international politics; 3. The Soviet 20th Party Congress and emerging disputes in 1958; 4. Mao's Great Leap Forward and Sino-Soviet disputes, 1959-1960; 5. Temporary calm and deterioration in relations, 1960-1962; 6. The growth of domestic radicalism and polemics with Moscow, 1963-1964; 7. Short-lived detente and the end of party relations, 1965-1966; 8. Sino-Soviet confrontation during the Cultural Revolution, 1966-1969; 9. Conclusions; Notes BibliographyIndex
Sommario/riassunto	The Sino-Soviet split in the 1960s was one of the most significant events of the Cold War. Why did the Sino-Soviet alliance, hailed by its creators as ""unbreakable"", ""eternal"", and as representing ""brotherly solidarity"", break up? Why did their relations eventually evolve into

open hostility and military confrontation? With the publication of several works on the subject in the past decade, we are now in a better position to understand and explain the origins of the Sino-Soviet split. But at the same time new questions and puzzles have also emerged. The scholarly debate on this issue

---