

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910461238903321
Autore	Bybee Keith J. <1965->
Titolo	All judges are political-- except when they are not [[electronic resource]] : acceptable hypocrisies and the rule of law / / Keith J. Bybee
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Stanford, Calif., : Stanford Law Books, c2010
ISBN	0-8047-7561-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (191 p.)
Collana	The cultural lives of law
Disciplina	347.73/12
Soggetti	Judicial process - United States Political questions and judicial power - United States Rule of law - United States Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [149]-166) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- I. Legal Realism: Dead and Alive -- II. Elements of Common Courtesy -- III. The Rule of Law as the Rules of Etiquette -- Notes -- Bibliography -- Table of Cases -- Acknowledgments -- Index -- The Cultural Lives of Law
Sommario/riassunto	We live in an age where one person's judicial "activist" legislating from the bench is another's impartial arbiter fairly interpreting the law. After the Supreme Court ended the 2000 Presidential election with its decision in <i>Bush v. Gore</i> , many critics claimed that the justices had simply voted their political preferences. But Justice Clarence Thomas, among many others, disagreed and insisted that the Court had acted according to legal principle, stating: "I plead with you, that, whatever you do, don't try to apply the rules of the political world to this institution; they do not apply." The legitimacy of our courts rests on their capacity to give broadly acceptable answers to controversial questions. Yet Americans are divided in their beliefs about whether our courts operate on unbiased legal principle or political interest. Comparing law to the practice of common courtesy, Keith Bybee explains how our courts not only survive under these suspicions of hypocrisy, but actually depend on them. Law, like courtesy, furnishes a means of getting along. It frames disputes in collectively acceptable

ways, and it is a habitual practice, drummed into the minds of citizens by popular culture and formal institutions. The rule of law, thus, is neither particularly fair nor free of paradoxical tensions, but it endures. Although pervasive public skepticism raises fears of judicial crisis and institutional collapse, such skepticism is also an expression of how our legal system ordinarily functions.
