

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910460970703321
Autore	Henry, of Ghent, <1217?-1293.>
Titolo	Henrici de Gandavo Summa (quaestiones ordinariae) Art. LIII-LV // ediderunt, Gordon A. Wilson, Dr. Phil, Girard J. Etzkorn, Dr. Phil
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leuven : , : Leuven University Press, , 2014
ISBN	94-6166-172-X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (510 p.)
Collana	Ancient and medieval philosophy. Series 2 Henrici de Gandavo Opera omnia ; ; XXXI
Disciplina	230/.2
Soggetti	Theology Philosophy Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Latino
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	At head of title: De Wulf-Mansion Centre.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	FOREWORD; CRITICAL STUDY; THE EDITIONS AND MANUSCRIPTS; The Editions; The Manuscripts; THE TEXT EXAMINED EXTERIORLY;; HISTORICAL AND CODICOLOGICAL ELEMENTS USED FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE TEXT; 1. The Authorship and Date of Summa, art. 53-55; 2. Summa, art. 53-55: Distributed by Means of Two Successive Exemplars by the University in Paris; A. the first university exemplar; B. the second university exemplar; THE TEXT EXAMINED INTERIORLY: ; 1. The Common Accidents; A. the groups of manuscripts characterized by the number of common accidents ; B. the groups characterized individually 1. Manuscript B" (Bibl. Vaticana, Borgh. 17)2. The Group of Manuscripts Dependent upon the First Exemplar of the University in Paris; 3. A Group of Vatican Manuscripts Dependent upon the Manuscript VAT., Vat. lat. 854 (= ms. 16, i.e. I'); 4. The Second Parisian University Exemplar; 2. The Isolated Accidents; MANUSCRIPT 13 (Biblioteca Vaticana, ms. Borghese 17) (=B") AND THE FIRST PARISIAN UNIVERSITY EXEMPLAR; Summa, art. 53-55: A Text Stemming ; 1 The Reconstruction of the Texts of the Two Exemplars; 2. A Comparison of the Texts of the First and Second Exemplars of Articles 53-55 A. the first Parisian university exemplar compared to the second Parisian university exemplar B. the manuscript Brussels/Bruxelles,

koninklijke bibliotheek/bibliothèque royale iv 1202 (= ms. 2, i.e. e'): its relation to the first and second Parisian exemplars; THE REFERENCES IN SUMMA, ART. 53-55; PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF THE SUMMA, ART. 53-55; THE GENESIS OF THE EXEMPLARS, REPRESENTED BY A DIAGRAM; TECHNIQUES OF THE EDITION; 1. The Establishment of the Latin Text; 2. The Conventions Used in the Latin Text; SYMBOLS; 1. In the Text Itself; 2. In the Critical Apparatus; ABBREVIATIONS 1. In the Critical Apparatus 2. In the Apparatus of Citations; SIGLA OF THE MANUSCRIPTS AND ABBREVIATIONS OF THE EDITIONS; SUMMA LIII-LV; ART LIII DE MODO ESSENDI PERSONAS IN DEO; QUAESTIO 1; QUAESTIO 2; QUAESTIO 3; QUAESTIO 4; QUAESTIO 5; QUAESTIO 6; QUAESTIO 7; QUAESTIO 8; QUAESTIO 9; QUAESTIO 10; ART LIV DE MODO EMANANDI UNAM PERSONAM DIVINAM AB ALIA; QUAESTIO 1; QUAESTIO 2; QUAESTIO 3; QUAESTIO 4; QUAESTIO 5; QUAESTIO 6; QUAESTIO 7; QUAESTIO 8; QUAESTIO 9; QUAESTIO 10; ART LV DE PROPRIETATIBUS PERSONARUM IN GENERALI SECUNDUM SE; QUAESTIO 1; QUAESTIO 2; QUAESTIO 3; QUAESTIO 4; QUAESTIO 5 QUAESTIO 6 TABLES; I. WORKS CITED BY HENRY; A. Ancient and Medieval Authors; B. Modern Authors Cited by the Editors in the Apparatus; II. ONOMASTIC TABLE; Introduction and Apparatus; Text; III. MANUSCRIPTS CITED; IV. QUOTED PUBLICATIONS; V. TABLE OF PHOTOGRAPHS; VI. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sommario/riassunto

Henry of Ghent's Summa, art. 53-55, was composed shortly after Christmas of 1281, at the height of Henry's teaching career in the Theology Faculty at the University in Paris. These questions, which begin the 'second part' of his Summa, are devoted to the Persons of the Trinity. They contain Henry's philosophical analyses of the theoretical concepts person, relation, and universals. The text has been reconstructed based upon manuscripts copied from a first and second Parisian university exemplar. In the critical study that precedes the Latin text, the editors argue that the manuscript, Biblioteca VATICANA, Borghese 17, which contains the texts of these articles and which has, in the latter part of this manuscript, many of the features of an exemplar divided into pecia, could not have been the exemplar divided into pecia for these particular articles. The volume concludes with the typical tables.
