

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910459960603321
Autore	Baker Dennis J.
Titolo	The right not to be criminalized : demarcating criminal law's authority / / Dennis J. Baker
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London : , : Routledge, , 2016
ISBN	1-317-01776-5 1-317-01777-3 1-315-55348-1 1-283-09044-9 9786613090447 1-4094-2766-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (312 p.)
Collana	Applied legal philosophy
Disciplina	345.001
Soggetti	Criminal law - Philosophy Law and ethics Criminal liability - Philosophy Criminal justice, Administration of - Moral and ethical aspects Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	First published 2011 by Ashgate Publishing.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Unprincipled criminalization -- The problem : unprincipled criminalization -- The right not to be criminalized -- The retributive foundations of individualized criminalization -- Principled criminalization -- The structure of this book -- Taking harm seriously as a fairness constraint -- Harm and wrongdoing -- Feinberg's account of objectively wrongful harm -- Wronging non-human animals -- Non-objective and objective conceptions of harm -- Constitutionalizing the harm principle -- Wrongful harm as a normative justification for penal detention -- Distinguishing criminal harm from private law harm : culpability and collective enforcement -- The moral dimensions of constitutional rights -- Harm as a constitutional requirement -- Can courts determine objective accounts of harm? -- Drawing the line -- The limits of remote harm and endangerment criminalization --

Criminal responsibility for the acts of another -- Empirical evidence of remote harmfulness -- Fairly imputing aggregate harm to individuals -- Endangerment as a justification for criminalizing gun possession -- Conclusion -- The harm principle vs. Kantian criteria for ensuring fair criminalization -- Kantian criteria for ensuring fair criminalization -- Kant's second formulation of the categorical imperative -- Dan-Cohen and Ripstein's criticisms of the harm principle -- Harm and wrongdoing to non-humans -- Ripstein's sovereignty principle -- The moral limits of consent as a defense to criminal harm doing -- Objectivity and consent -- Harm and consent : stubborn counterexamples -- Objectivity and the limits of consent in R.V. Konzani -- Objectivity and wanton use of humans -- Other normative considerations -- Criminalizing harmless wrongs -- The hollowness of Feinberg's offense principle -- Feinberg's mediating maxims and critical morality -- The vacuity of moral realism as an explanation of criminalization's normativity -- Conventionally contingent harms -- The normative badness of offense doing -- The wrongness of conventionally contingent bad acts -- Conclusion.

Sommario/riassunto

This book presents arguments and proposals for constraining criminalization, with a focus on the legal limits of the criminal law. The book approaches the issue by showing how the moral criteria for constraining unjust criminalization can and has been incorporated into constitutional human rights and thus provides a legal right not to be unfairly criminalized.
