

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910459828503321
Autore	Caplin William Earl <1948->
Titolo	Musical form, forms & formenlehre [[electronic resource]] : three methodological reflections / / William E. Caplin, James Hepokoski, James Webster ; edited by Pieter Berge
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leuven, : Leuven University Press, [c2009]
ISBN	94-6166-004-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (180 p.)
Collana	Studies in Musical Form
Altri autori (Persone)	HepokoskiJames A <1946-> (James Arnold) WebsterJames <1942-> BergePieter
Disciplina	781.8
Soggetti	Musical form Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"The present volume arose from a symposium on Formenlehre that took place at the 6th European Music Analysis Conference (EuroMAC) in Freiburg, Germany, October 10-14, 2007"--Pref.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 171-175).
Nota di contenuto	Preface / Ludwig Holtmeier -- Prologue: Considering musical form, forms and formenlehre / Pieter Berge -- Part I. William E. Caplin & the theory of formal functions -- What are formal functions? / William E. Caplin -- Comments of William E. Caplin's essay "What are formal functions?" / James Hepokoski -- Comments of William E. Caplin's essay "What are formal functions?" / James Webster -- Response to the comments / William E. Caplin. Part II. James Hepokoski & the concept of dialogic form -- Sonata theory and dialogic form / James Hepokoski -- Comments on James Hepokoski's essay "Sonata theory and dialogic form" / William E. Caplin -- Comments on James Hepokoski's essay "Sonata theory and dialogic form" / James Webster -- Response to the comments / James Hepokoski. Part III. James Webster & the concept of multivalent analysis -- Formenlehre in theory and practice / James Webster -- Comments on James Webster's essay "Formenlehre in theory and practice" / William E. Caplin -- Comments on James Webster's essay "Formenlehre in theory and practice" / James Hepokoski -- Response to the comments / James

Sommario/riassunto

The tone of the debates among Caplin, Hepokoski, and Webster (in the form of comments on each author's essay and then responses to the comments), though tactful, is obliquely blunt and tendentious; like the best of tennis pros, each author strives to serve an ace and defends the net against a passing shot (with Caplin, the ace is for formal function; with Hepokoski for Sonata Theory and dialogic form; with Webster for multivalent analysis). But we can trust that this provocative exchange will thoroughly invigorate discussions about classical form and encourage diverse approaches to its analysis.