1. Record Nr. UNINA9910459755403321

Autore De Cruz Helen <1978->

Titolo A natural history of natural theology: the cognitive science of theology

and philosophy of religion / / Helen De Cruz and Johan De Smedt

Pubbl/distr/stampa Cambridge, Massachusetts;; London, England:,: The MIT Press,,

2015 ©2015

ISBN 0-262-32683-3

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (265 pages)

Disciplina 210

Soggetti Natural theology

God - Proof Cognition

Religion - Philosophy Electronic books.

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Sommario/riassunto "Questions about the existence and attributes of God form the subject

matter of natural theology, which seeks to gain knowledge of the divine by relying on reason and experience of the world. Arguments in natural theology rely largely on intuitions and inferences that seem natural to us, occurring spontaneously--at the sight of a beautiful landscape, perhaps, or in wonderment at the complexity of the cosmos--even to a nonphilosopher. In this book, Helen De Cruz and Johan De Smedt examine the cognitive origins of arguments in natural theology. They find that although natural theological arguments can be very sophisticated, they are rooted in everyday intuitions about purpose, causation, agency, and morality. Using evidence and theories from disciplines including the cognitive science of religion, evolutionary ethics, evolutionary aesthetics, and the cognitive science of testimony, they show that these intuitions emerge early in development and are a stable part of human cognition. De Cruz and De Smedt analyze the cognitive underpinnings of five well-known arguments for the

existence of God: the argument from design, the cosmological argument, the moral argument, the argument from beauty, and the argument from miracles. Finally, they consider whether the cognitive origins of these natural theological arguments should affect their rationality"--MIT CogNet.