Record Nr. UNINA9910459224503321 Autore Peterson Sandra <1940-> **Titolo** Socrates and philosophy in the dialogues of Plato / / Sandra Peterson [[electronic resource]] Cambridge: ,: Cambridge University Press, , 2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-107-21370-3 1-139-01258-4 1-283-01715-6 9786613017154 1-139-00942-7 1-139-00995-8 1-139-00780-7 1-139-00669-X 0-511-92134-9 1-139-00890-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xvi, 293 pages): digital, PDF file(s) 184 Disciplina Soggetti Philosophy Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Includes bibliographical references and indexes. Nota di bibliografia 1. Opposed hypotheses about Plato's dialogues -- 2. Socrates in the Nota di contenuto Apology -- 3. Socrates in the digression of the Theaetetus: extraction by declaration -- 4. Socrates in the Republic, part I: speech and counter-speech -- 5. Socrates in the Republic, part II: philosophers. forms, Glaucon and Adeimantus -- 6. Socrates in the Phaedo: another persuasion assignment -- 7. Others' conceptions of philosophy in Euthydemus, Lovers, and Sophist -- 8. Socrates and Plato in Plato's dialogues -- 9. Socrates and philosophy. In Plato's Apology, Socrates says he spent his life examining and Sommario/riassunto questioning people on how best to live, while avowing that he himself knows nothing important. Elsewhere, however, for example in Plato's Republic, Plato's Socrates presents radical and grandiose theses. In this book Sandra Peterson offers a hypothesis which explains the puzzle of

Socrates' two contrasting manners. She argues that the apparently confident doctrinal Socrates is in fact conducting the first step of an examination: by eliciting his interlocutors' reactions, his apparently doctrinal lectures reveal what his interlocutors believe is the best way to live. She tests her hypothesis by close reading of passages in the Theaetetus, Republic and Phaedo. Her provocative conclusion, that there is a single Socrates whose conception and practice of philosophy remain the same throughout the dialogues, will be of interest to a wide range of readers in ancient philosophy and classics.