

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910458916803321
Autore	Krause Sharon R
Titolo	Civil passions [[electronic resource] ] : moral sentiment and democratic deliberation / / Sharon R. Krause
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Princeton, : Princeton University Press, c2008
ISBN	1-282-96459-3 9786612964596 1-4008-3728-6
Edizione	[Course Book]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (275 p.)
Disciplina	320.01/9
Soggetti	Political psychology Justice Fairness Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [245]-256) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Citizenship, judgment, and the politics of passion -- Justice and passion in Rawls and Habermas -- Recent alternatives to rationalism -- Moral sentiment and the politics of judgment in Hume -- Affective judgment in democratic politics -- Public deliberation and the feeling of impartiality -- The affective authority of law -- Toward a new politics of passion : civil passions and the promise of justice.
Sommario/riassunto	Must we put passions aside when we deliberate about justice? Can we do so? The dominant views of deliberation rightly emphasize the importance of impartiality as a cornerstone of fair decision making, but they wrongly assume that impartiality means being disengaged and passionless. In Civil Passions, Sharon Krause argues that moral and political deliberation must incorporate passions, even as she insists on the value of impartiality. Drawing on resources ranging from Hume's theory of moral sentiment to recent findings in neuroscience, Civil Passions breaks new ground by providing a systematic account of how passions can generate an impartial standpoint that yields binding and compelling conclusions in politics. Krause shows that the path to genuinely impartial justice in the public sphere--and ultimately to

social change and political reform--runs through moral sentiment properly construed. This new account of affective but impartial judgment calls for a politics of liberal rights and democratic contestation, and it requires us to reconceive the meaning of public reason, the nature of sound deliberation, and the authority of law. By illuminating how impartiality feels, *Civil Passions* offers not only a truer account of how we deliberate about justice, but one that promises to engage citizens more effectively in acting for justice.

---