

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910458212303321
Autore	Casson Douglas
Titolo	Liberating judgment [[electronic resource] ] : fanatics, skeptics, and John Locke's politics of probability / / Douglas John Casson
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Princeton, : Princeton University Press, c2011
ISBN	1-282-97915-9 9786612979156 1-4008-3688-3
Edizione	[Course Book]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (580 p.)
Disciplina	320.01
Soggetti	Political science - Philosophy - History - 17th century Judgment (Logic) Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Introduction. The Great Recoinage -- I. Unsettling Judgment. Knowledge, Belief, and the Crisis of Authority -- II. Abandoning Judgment: Montaignian Skeptics and Cartesian Fanatics -- III Reworking Reasonableness. The Authoritative Testimony of Nature -- IV. Forming Judgment: The Transformation of Knowledge and Belief -- V. Liberating Judgment: Freedom, Happiness, and the Reasonable Self -- VI. Enacting Judgment: Dismantling the Divine Certainty of Sir Robert Filmer -- VII. Authorizing Judgment: Consensual Government and the Politics of Probability -- Conclusion. The Great Recoinage Revisited -- References -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Examining the social and political upheavals that characterized the collapse of public judgment in early modern Europe, Liberating Judgment offers a unique account of the achievement of liberal democracy and self-government. The book argues that the work of John Locke instills a civic judgment that avoids the excesses of corrosive skepticism and dogmatic fanaticism, which lead to either political acquiescence or irresolvable conflict. Locke changes the way political power is assessed by replacing deteriorating vocabularies of legitimacy with a new language of justification informed by a

conception of probability. For Locke, the coherence and viability of liberal self-government rests not on unassailable principles or institutions, but on the capacity of citizens to embrace probable judgment. The book explores the breakdown of the medieval understanding of knowledge and opinion, and considers how Montaigne's skepticism and Descartes' rationalism--interconnected responses to the crisis--involved a pragmatic submission to absolute rule. Locke endorses this response early on, but moves away from it when he encounters a notion of reasonableness based on probable judgment. In his mature writings, Locke instructs his readers to govern their faculties and intellectual yearnings in accordance with this new standard as well as a vocabulary of justification that might cultivate a self-government of free and equal individuals. The success of Locke's arguments depends upon citizens' willingness to take up the labor of judgment in situations where absolute certainty cannot be achieved.

---