1. Record Nr. UNINA9910458024703321 Autore Havercroft Jonathan <1975-> Titolo Captives of sovereignty / / Jonathan Havercroft [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-139-12524-9 1-107-22932-4 1-283-29644-6 9786613296443 1-139-12384-X 1-139-11809-9 1-139-12875-2 1-139-11373-9 1-139-00332-1 1-139-11592-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (viii, 268 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Disciplina 320.1/5 Soggetti Sovereignty - Philosophy Skepticism Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto A picture holds us captive -- Sovereignty, judgment and epistemic skepticism -- Sovereignty, language, and ethical skepticism --Sovereignty, religious skepticism, and the theological-political problem -- Political authority and skepticism -- Authority, criteria, and the new social contract -- The claim of global community -- Conclusion: authority without supremacy, community with contestation. A picture of sovereignty holds the study of politics captive. Captives of Sommario/riassunto Sovereignty looks at the historical origins of this picture of politics, critiques its philosophical assumptions and offers a way to move contemporary critiques of sovereignty beyond their current impasse. The first part of the book is diagnostic. Why, despite their best efforts to critique sovereignty, do political scientists who are dissatisfied with the concept continue to reproduce the logic of sovereignty in their

thinking? Havercroft draws on the writings of Hobbes and Spinoza to argue that theories of sovereignty are produced and reproduced in response to skepticism. The second part of the book draws on contemporary critiques of skeptical arguments by Wittgenstein and Cavell to argue that their alternative way of responding to skepticism avoids the need to invoke a sovereign as the final arbiter of all political disputes.