Record Nr. UNINA9910457894103321 Autore Jardim Tomaz <1974-> Titolo The Mauthausen trial [[electronic resource]]: American military justice in Germany / / Tomaz Jardim Cambridge, Mass., : Harvard University Press, 2012 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 0-674-06312-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (301 p.) Disciplina 341.6/90268 Soggetti War crime trials - Germany - Dachau Trials (Genocide) - Germany - Dachau World War, 1939-1945 - Atrocities Holocaust, Jewish (1939-1945) - Austria Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Note generali Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Introduction -- CHAPTER 1. War Crimes Trials and the U.S. Army -- CHAPTER 2. American Investigators at Mauthausen -- CHAPTER 3. The Prosecution Crafts Its Case --CHAPTER 4. The Defendants in the Dock -- CHAPTER 5. Judgment at Dachau -- Conclusion -- APPENDIX: The Mauthausen Trial Charge Sheet -- Notes -- Bibliography of Primary Sources --Acknowledgments -- Index Sommario/riassunto Shortly after 9:00 a.m. on May 27, 1947, the first of forty-nine men condemned to death for war crimes at Mauthausen concentration camp mounted the gallows at Landsberg prison near Munich. The mass execution that followed resulted from an American military trial conducted at Dachau in the spring of 1946-a trial that lasted only thirty-six days and yet produced more death sentences than any other in American history. The Mauthausen trial was part of a massive series of proceedings designed to judge and punish Nazi war criminals in the

most expedient manner the law would allow. There was no doubt that the crimes had been monstrous. Yet despite meting out punishment to a group of incontestably guilty men, the Mauthausen trial reveals a troubling and seldom-recognized face of American postwar justice-one

characterized by rapid proceedings, lax rules of evidence, and questionable interrogations. Although the better-known Nuremberg trials are often regarded as epitomizing American judicial ideals, these trials were in fact the exception to the rule. Instead, as Tomaz Jardim convincingly demonstrates, the rough justice of the Mauthausen trial remains indicative of the most common-and yet least understood-American approach to war crimes prosecution. The Mauthausen Trial forces reflection on the implications of compromising legal standards in order to guarantee that guilty people do not walk free.