1. Record Nr. UNINA9910457853503321 Autore Batnitzky Leora Faye <1966-> **Titolo** Leo Strauss and Emmanuel Levinas: philosophy and the politics of revelation / / Leora Batnitzky [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2006 Pubbl/distr/stampa 1-107-16820-1 **ISBN** 1-280-48024-6 9786610480241 0-511-22053-7 0-511-22137-1 0-511-21943-1 0-511-30897-3 0-511-49905-1 0-511-22011-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xxii, 280 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Disciplina 181/.06 Soggetti Jewish philosophy Philosophy, Modern - 20th century Political science - Philosophy Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese Materiale a stampa **Formato** Monografia Livello bibliografico Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Note generali Includes bibliographical references (p. 259-266) and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Strauss and Levinas between Athens and Jerusalem -- Levinas's defense of modern philosophy: how Strauss might respond -- "Freedom depends upon its bondage": the shared debt to Franz Rosenzweig --An irrationalist rationalism: Levinas's transformation of Hermann Cohen -- The possibility of premodern rationalism: Strauss's transformation of Hermann Cohen -- Against utopia: law and its limits -- Zionism and the discovery of prophetic politics -- Politics and hermeneutics: Strauss's and Levinas's retrieval of classical Jewish sources -- Revelation and commandment: Strauss, Levinas, and the theologico-political predicament.

Leo Strauss and Emmanuel Levinas, two twentieth-century Jewish

philosophers and two extremely provocative thinkers whose

Sommario/riassunto

reputations have grown considerably, are rarely studied together. This is due to the disparate interests of many of their intellectual heirs. Strauss has influenced political theorists and policy makers on the right while Levinas has been championed in the humanities by different cadres associated with postmodernist thought. In Leo Strauss and Emmanuel Levinas: Philosophy and the Politics of Revelation, first published in 2006, Leora Batnitzky brings together these two seemingly incongruous contemporaries, demonstrating that they often had the same philosophical sources and their projects had many formal parallels. While such a comparison is valuable in itself for better understanding each figure, it also raises profound questions in the debate on the definitions of 'religion', suggesting ways that religion makes claims on both philosophy and politics.