1. Record Nr. UNINA9910457668503321 Autore Carlson Lauri <1952-> Titolo "Well" in dialogue games [[electronic resource]]: a discourse analysis of the interjection "well" in idealized conversation / / Lauri Carlson Amsterdam; ; Philadelphia, : J. Benjamins Pub. Co., 1984 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-283-35934-0 9786613359346 90-272-8001-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (113 p.) Collana Pragmatics & beyond: an interdisciplinary series of language studies. . 0166-6258 ; ; 5:5 401/.41 Disciplina Soggetti Well (The English word) English language - Interjections English language - Discourse analysis English language - Spoken English Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references. Nota di contenuto WELL IN DIALOGUE GAMES A Discourse Analysis of the Interjection well in Idealized Conversation; Editorial page; Title page; Copyright page; Table of contents; Acknowledgements; 1.INTRODUCTION; 1.1. Aims; 1.2. Idealizations; 1.3. Chapter outlines; 2. THEORY; 2.1. Dialogue games; 2.2. Conversational analysis; 2.3. Computational models of dialogue; 2.3.1. Goal-directedness; 2.3.2. Modeling beliefs; 2.3.3. Current focus of dialogue; 2.3.4. Rules of dialogue shared by participants; 3. EARLIER TREATMENTS OF WELL; 3.1. Lakoff (1973a); 3.2. Murray (1979); 3.3. Svartvik (1980); 3.4. Owen (1981) 4. THE PRESENT TREATMENT4.1. The hypothesis; 4.2. Development of the hypothesis; 4.3. Data and classification; 4.3.1. Criteria pertaining to dialogue structure; 4.3.2. Utility related criteria; 4.3.3. How many meanings?; 5. WELL AS A QUALIFIER; 5.1. Question-answer exchanges; 5.1.1. Dialogue internal qualifications; 5.1.1.1. Defective questions;

5.1.1.2. Defective answers; 5.1.1.3. Demanded explanations; 5.1.2. Dialogue external qualifications; 5.1.2.1. Conversational maxims

compromised; 5.1.2.2. Other interests compromised; 5.2. Other exchanges; 5.2.1. Replies; 5.2.2. Arguments 5.2.3. Corrections5.2.4. Comments; 5.2.5. Exclamations; 5.2.6. Topic suggestions; 6. WELL AS A FRAME; 6.1. Opening a dialogue; 6.2. Transition situations; 6.2.1. Preparatory moves; 6.2.2. Topic shift; 6.2.3. Turn taking; 6.3. Closing; 6.4. Turn internal cases; 7. CONTRASTIVE STUDIES; 7.1. Well vs. oh; 7.1.1. (?.oh); 7.1.2. Exclamation; 7.1.3. Replies; 7.1.4. Unexpected topic; 7.1.5. Disappointment; 7.1.6. Topic shift; 7.2. Well and Finnish no; 7.3. Schourup (1983); 7.3.1. Theory and methodology; 7.3.2. Hypothesis; 7.3.3. Exclamations; 7.3.4. Topic shifting; 7.3.5. Answers 7.3.6. Before questions7.3.7. Corrections; 8. EXTENSIONS; 8.1. Politeness; 8.2. Emotions; 8.3. Well in writing; FOOTNOTES; SOURCES OF EXAMPLES; REFERENCES

## Sommario/riassunto

This dialogue game approach to the discourse analysis of the English interjection well aims at the formulation of rules which would be informative (marking some contexts of use as more natural than others), systematic (applicable in a mechanical or at least in a non-ad hoc way), and adequate (showing putative competitors to be either false to fact, too narrow or too wide, or demonstrably equivalent).