Record Nr. UNINA9910457503203321 Retributivism has a past [[electronic resource]]: has it a future? // **Titolo** edited by Michael Tonry Pubbl/distr/stampa Oxford;; New York,: Oxford University Press, c2011 **ISBN** 0-19-991937-2 1-283-42738-9 9786613427380 0-19-979840-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (304 p.) Collana Studies in penal theory and philosophy Altri autori (Persone) TonryMichael H Disciplina 364.601 Soggetti Punishment - Philosophy Retribution Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Cover; Contents; Preface; Contributors; 1. Can Twenty-first Century Punishment Policies Be Justified in Principle?; 2. Is Twenty-first Century Punishment Post-desert?; 3. What Does Wrongdoing Deserve?; 4. Responsibility, Restoration, and Retribution: 5. Punishment and Desertadjusted Utilitarianism; 6. The Future of State Punishment: The Role of Public Opinion in Sentencing; 7. A Political Theory of Imprisonment for Public Protection: 8. Terror as a Theory of Punishment: 9. Can Abovedesert Penalties Be Justified by Competing Deontological Theories? 10. Never Mind the Pain, It's a Measure! Justifying Measures as Part of the Dutch Bifurcated System of Sanctions11. Retributivism, Proportionality, and the Challenge of the Drug Court Movement; 12. Drug Treatment Courts as Communicative Punishment; 13. Punishment Futures: The Desert-model Debate and the Importance of the Criminal Law Context; Index; Sommario/riassunto For nearly two centuries in the United States, the punishment of crime was largely aimed, in theory and in practice, at prevention,

rehabilitation or incapacitation, and deterrence. In the mid-1970's, a sharp-and some argued permanent-shift occurred. Punishment in the

criminal justice system became first and foremost about retribution. Retribution trumped rehabilitation; proportionality outweighed prevention. The retributivist sea change was short-lived, however. After a few decades, some policy makers returned tentatively to individualized approaches to punishment, launching initiatives like...