Record Nr. UNINA9910457152103321 Autore Latham Michael E **Titolo** The right kind of revolution [[electronic resource]]: modernization, development, and U.S. Foreign Policy from the Cold War to the present and U.S. foreign policy from the Cold War to the present // Michael E. Latham Ithaca,: Cornell University Press, 2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 0-8014-6056-5 0-8014-6053-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (256 p.) Collana Cornell paperbacks Disciplina 327.73009/04 HISTORY / United States / 20th Century Soggetti Electronic books. United States Foreign relations 1945-1989 United States Foreign relations 1989-Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Setting the foundations: imperial ideals, global war, and decolonization -- Take-off: modernization and Cold War America -- Nationalist encounters: Nehru's India, Nasser's Egypt, and Nkrumah's Ghana --Technocratic faith: from birth control to the green revolution --Counterinsurgency and repression: Guatemala, South Vietnam, and Iran -- Modernization under fire: alternative paradigms, sustainable development, and the neoliberal turn -- The ghosts of modernization: from Cold War victory to Afghanistan and Iraq. After World War II, a powerful conviction took hold among American Sommario/riassunto intellectuals and policymakers: that the United States could profoundly accelerate and ultimately direct the development of the decolonizing world, serving as a modernizing force around the globe. By accelerating economic growth, promoting agricultural expansion, and encouraging the rise of enlightened elites, they hoped to link development with security, preventing revolutions and rapidly creating liberal, capitalist states. In The Right Kind of Revolution, Michael E. Latham explores the

role of modernization and development in U.S. foreign policy from the

early Cold War through the present. The modernization project rarely went as its architects anticipated. Nationalist leaders in postcolonial states such as India, Ghana, and Egypt pursued their own independent visions of development. Attempts to promote technological solutions to development problems also created unintended consequences by increasing inequality, damaging the environment, and supporting coercive social policies. In countries such as Guatemala, South Vietnam, and Iran, U.S. officials and policymakers turned to modernization as a means of counterinsurgency and control, ultimately shoring up dictatorial regimes and exacerbating the very revolutionary dangers they wished to resolve. Those failures contributed to a growing challenge to modernization theory in the late 1960's and 1970's. Since the end of the Cold War the faith in modernization as a panacea has reemerged. The idea of a global New Deal, however, has been replaced by a neoliberal emphasis on the power of markets to shape developing nations in benevolent ways. U.S. policymakers have continued to insist that history has a clear, universal direction, but events in Iraq and Afghanistan give the lie to modernization's false hopes and appealing promises.