

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910457022003321
Autore	Davidson Marc David
Titolo	Arguing about climate change [[electronic resource] ] : judging the handling of climate risk to future generations by comparison to the general standards of conduct in the case of risk to contemporaries / / Marc David Davidson
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam, : Amsterdam University Press, c2008
ISBN	1-282-45384-X 9786612453847 90-485-0834-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (148 p.)
Disciplina	350 363.738/74
Soggetti	Environmental ethics Climatic changes - Moral and ethical aspects Environmental responsibility Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	The work was "financed by the Dutch Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) in the context of the programme Ethics, Research & Public Policy, and the Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment (VROM)." Originally presented as the author's Ph.D Thesis from the University of Amsterdam.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; General introduction; Chapter 1: An inconvenient truth; Chapter 2: Climate damage as wrongful harm to future generations; Chapter 3: Regulation of climate change and the reasonable man standard; Chapter 4: A social discount rate for climate damage to future generations based on regulatory law; Chapter 5: How reasonable man discounts climate damage; Chapter 6: Parallels in reactionary argumentation in the US congressional debates on the abolition of slavery and the Kyoto Protocol; Summary; Nederlandse samenvatting; Acknowledgements; Curriculum vitae
Sommario/riassunto	Intergenerational justice requires that climate risks to future

generations be handled with the same reasonable care deemed acceptable by society in the case of risks to contemporaries. Such general standards of conduct are laid down in tort law, for example. Consequently, the validity of arguments for or against more stringent climate policy can be judged by comparison to the general standards of conduct applying in the case of risk to contemporaries. That this consistency test is able to disqualify certain arguments in the climate debate is illustrated by a further investigation of the debat

---