Record Nr. UNINA9910456965903321 Autore Knight Jack <1952-> Titolo The priority of democracy [[electronic resource]]: political consequences of pragmatism / / Jack Knight and James Johnson Princeton [N.J.],: Princeton University Press, c2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-283-15256-8 9786613152565 1-4008-4033-3 Edizione [Course Book] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (343 p.) Collana A Princeton University Press e-book Altri autori (Persone) JohnsonJames <1955-> 321.8 Disciplina Soggetti Democracy - Philosophy Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Preliminaries -- Pragmatism and the problem of institutional design --The appeal of decentralization -- The priority of democracy and the burden of justification -- Reconsidering the role of political argument in democratic politics -- Refining reflexivity -- Formal conditions : institutionalizing liberal guarantees -- Substantive conditions : pragmatism and effectiveness. Sommario/riassunto Pragmatism and its consequences are central issues in American politics today, yet scholars rarely examine in detail the relationship between pragmatism and politics. In The Priority of Democracy, Jack Knight and James Johnson systematically explore the subject and make a strong case for adopting a pragmatist approach to democratic politics--and for giving priority to democracy in the process of selecting and reforming political institutions. What is the primary value of democracy? When should we make decisions democratically and when should we rely on markets? And when should we accept the decisions of unelected officials, such as judges or bureaucrats? Knight and Johnson explore how a commitment to pragmatism should affect our answers to such important questions. They conclude that

democracy is a good way of determining how these kinds of decisions should be made--even if what the democratic process determines is

that not all decisions should be made democratically. So, for example, the democratically elected U.S. Congress may legitimately remove monetary policy from democratic decision-making by putting it under the control of the Federal Reserve. Knight and Johnson argue that pragmatism offers an original and compelling justification of democracy in terms of the unique contributions democratic institutions can make to processes of institutional choice. This focus highlights the important role that democracy plays, not in achieving consensus or commonality, but rather in addressing conflicts. Indeed, Knight and Johnson suggest that democratic politics is perhaps best seen less as a way of reaching consensus or agreement than as a way of structuring the terms of persistent disagreement.