1. Record Nr. UNINA9910456196303321 Autore Quaid Maeve Titolo Workfare: why good social policy ideas go bad / / Maeve Quaid Pubbl/distr/stampa Toronto, [Ontario];; Buffalo, [New York];; London, [England]:,: University of Toronto Press., 2002 ©2002 **ISBN** 9786612025990 1-282-02599-6 1-4426-8365-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (257 p.) Disciplina 362.5/8/0971 Soggetti Welfare recipients - Employment - Canada Welfare recipients - Employment - United States Public welfare administration - Canada Public welfare administration - United States Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- 1. Introduction -- 2. What Is Workfare? Something, Nothing, or Anything and Everything? --3. Policy Chic: Putting the Poor to Work -- 4. California's GAIN -Program The Operation Was a Success but the Patient Died -- 5. Wisconsin - Tommy Thompson and His Welfare Miracle -- 6. New York City's Work Experience Program - 'Same Shit, Different Day' -- 7. 'Learnfare' in New Brunswick - Tune In, Turn On, Drop Out -- 8. Alberta's Mandatory 'Voluntary Opportunities' -- 9. The Ontario Works Program - Mutiny on the Bounty -- 10. Why Good Ideas Go Bad: A Six -Hazard Model -- References -- Index One of the greatest, as well as the most debated, social policy ideas of Sommario/riassunto the 1980s and 1990s was workfare. In Workfare: Why Good Social Policy Ideas Go Bad, Maeve Quaid delves into the definition and history of workfare, and then continues with a critical and comparative analysis of workfare programs in six jurisdictions: three American (California,

Wisconsin, New York) and three Canadian (Alberta, Ontario, New

Brunswick). Drawing from these case studies, Quaid develops an analytic model that illustrates how workfare falls prey to a series of hazards whereby good social policy ideas fail. Their demise, argues Quaid, begins with politicians with a zest for big ideas but little interest in implementation, continues with short-sighted policy makers, resistant bureaucrats, cynical recipients, flawed evaluations, and is completed by fleeting and fickle public attention for these news stories. Quaid's identification and analysis of these hazards is especially valuable because the hazards can also be applied to innovation in any area of social policy, such as health-care, education, pension plans, child-care, and unemployment insurance.