

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910455367703321
Autore	Barnes Jeb
Titolo	Overruled? [[electronic resource]] : legislative overrides, pluralism, and contemporary court-Congress relations // Jeb Barnes
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Stanford, Calif., : Stanford University Press, 2004
ISBN	0-8047-6720-3 1-4175-1945-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (231 p.)
Disciplina	347.73/12
Soggetti	Statutes - United States Judicial review - United States Law - United States - Interpretation and construction Political questions and judicial power - United States Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	The questions, debate, and overview -- Overrides in contemporary U.S. policy-making : promise and current understanding -- Assumptions and hypotheses -- Data, methods, and findings. -- A typology of override scenarios -- Operationalization of typology, findings, and discussions. -- Under what conditions...? patterns and hypotheses. -- Broader implications and avenues for future inquiry.
Sommario/riassunto	Since the mid-1970s, Congress has passed hundreds of overrides—laws that explicitly seek to reverse or modify judicial interpretations of statutes. Whether front-page news or not, overrides serve potentially vital functions in American policy-making. Federal statutes—and court cases interpreting them—often require revision. Some are ambiguous, some conflict, and others are obsolete. Under these circumstances, overrides promise Congress a means to repair flawed statutes, reconcile discordant court decisions, and reverse errant judicial interpretations. Overrides also allow dissatisfied litigants to revisit issues and raise concerns in Congress that courts have overlooked. Of course, promising is one thing and delivering is quite another. Accordingly, this book asks: Do overrides, in fact, effectively clarify the

law, reverse objectionable judicial statutory interpretations, and broaden deliberation on contested issues? The answers provide new insights into the complex role of overrides in U.S. policy-making and in the politics of contemporary court-Congress relations.
