Record Nr. UNINA9910455330503321 Autore Laird Frank N. **Titolo** Solar energy, technology policy, and institutional values / / Frank N. Laird [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2001 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-107-12071-3 0-521-03429-9 0-511-04626-X 9786610432608 0-511-15269-8 0-511-30212-6 0-511-17357-1 0-511-50986-3 1-280-43260-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xvii, 248 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Disciplina 333.792/3 Soggetti Solar energy **Energy policy** Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Introduction: Solar Energy, Ideas, and Public Policy -- pt. I. Before the Nota di contenuto Energy Crisis -- 1. Framing the Energy Problem Before the Energy Crisis -- 2. Creating Policy for the Future -- 3. Advocates Construct Solar Technology -- 4. Solar Energy's Incompatibility with Official Problem Frames -- pt. II. During the Energy Crisis -- 5. Problem Frames During the Energy Crisis -- 6. Solar Advocacy in the Crisis -- 7. Limited Access: Solar Advocates and Energy Policy Frames -- 8. Solar Policy in Crisis -- 9. New Technologies, Old Ideas, and the Dynamics of Public Policy. Sommario/riassunto Energy policies that promote new technologies and energy sources are policies for the future. They influence the shape of emergent

technological systems, and also condition our social, political and economic lives. Solar Energy, Technology Policy, and Institutional

Values demonstrates the difficulties of deliberating such properties by providing a historical case study that analyses US renewable energy policy from the end of World War II through the energy crisis of the 1970s. The book illuminates the ways beliefs and values come to dominate official problem frames and get entrenched in institutions. In doing so it also explains why advocates of renewable energy have often faced ideological opposition, and why policy makers fail to take them seriously.