

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910455077703321
Autore	Goddard Stacie E. <1974->
Titolo	Indivisible territory and the politics of legitimacy : Jerusalem and Northern Ireland // Stacie E. Goddard [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2010
ISBN	0-511-69966-2 1-107-20582-4 1-282-33668-1 9786612336683 0-511-63508-7 0-511-63293-2 0-511-63464-1 0-511-63172-3 0-511-63413-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (ix, 294 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	941.5082/1
Soggetti	Nationalism - Northern Ireland Political violence - Northern Ireland Rhetoric - Political aspects - Northern Ireland Nationalism - Jerusalem Political violence - Jerusalem Rhetoric - Political aspects - Jerusalem Partition, Territorial Ireland History Partition, 1921 Jerusalem History Partition, 1948
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	1. Introduction -- 2. Constructing Indivisibility : A Legitimation Theory of Indivisible Territory -- 3. Home Rule : A Divisible Ireland -- 4. "Ulster Will Fight" : The Orange Card and an Indivisible Ireland -- 5. Dividing the Holy City -- 6. Jerusalem, Indivisible -- 7. How Northern Ireland Became Divisible (and Why Jerusalem Has Not) -- 8. Conclusion.

In Jerusalem and Northern Ireland, territorial disputes have often seemed indivisible, unable to be solved through negotiation, and prone to violence and war. This book challenges the conventional wisdom that these conflicts were the inevitable result of clashing identities, religions, and attachments to the land. On the contrary, it was radical political rhetoric, and not ancient hatreds, that rendered these territories indivisible. Stacie Goddard traces the roots of territorial indivisibility to politicians' strategies for legitimating their claims to territory. When bargaining over territory, politicians utilize rhetoric to appeal to their domestic audiences and undercut the claims of their opponents. However, this strategy has unintended consequences; by resonating with some coalitions and appearing unacceptable to others, politicians' rhetoric can lock them into positions in which they are unable to recognize the legitimacy of their opponent's demands. As a result, politicians come to negotiations with incompatible claims, constructing territory as indivisible.
