

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910455001303321
Autore	Levesque Roger J. R
Titolo	Dangerous adolescents, model adolescents [[electronic resource]] : shaping the role and promise of education / / Roger J.R. Levesque
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Kluwer Academic/Plenum, c2002
ISBN	1-280-20027-8 9786610200276 0-306-47540-5
Edizione	[1st ed. 2002.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (273 p.)
Collana	Perspectives in law & psychology ; ; v. 13
Disciplina	370.11/5
Soggetti	Education, Secondary - Social aspects - United States Teenagers - Education - Social aspects - United States Problem children - Education - Social aspects - United States Educational law and legislation - United States Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 229-251) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Developing Law to Educate Adolescents -- Education's Role in Fostering Adolescents -- Law and the Development of Public Education -- Challenges Facing Adolescents' Education -- Dangerous Adolescents -- Model Adolescents -- Thriving Adolescents -- Fostering Adolescents -- Ensuring the Promise of Education.
Sommario/riassunto	Teachers make a difference. As someone who grew up in one of the poorest and rural areas of a poor state and ended up attending elite graduate and professional schools, I have much to credit my public school teachers. My teachers sure struggled much to teach an amazingly wide variety of students from different backgrounds, abilities, and hopes. Given that reality, which undoubtedly repeats itself across the United States and globe, one would think that I should be quite hesitant to criticize a system that produces countless grateful students and productive citizens. I agree. The pages that follow surely can be perceived as yet another attack on already much maligned schools that do produce impressive outcomes despite their limited resources, increased obligations, and the sustained barrage of attacks

from competing interest groups. Some may even view the text as an affront to the inalienable rights of parents to raise their children as they see fit. Others surely could understand the analysis as another assault on our decentralized legal and school systems that should retain the right to balance the needs of communities, parents, schools, and students. I clearly did not intend, and do not see the ultimate result, as yet another diatribe on the manner teachers, parents and communities treat students.
