Record Nr. UNINA9910454961703321 Autore Anastopoulos Arthur D. <1954-> Titolo Assessing attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder [[electronic resource] /] / Arthur D. Anastopoulos and Terri L. Shelton New York, : Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, c2001 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-280-19999-7 9786610199990 0-306-47512-X Edizione [1st ed. 2001.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (366 p.) Collana Topics in Social Psychiatry Altri autori (Persone) SheltonTerri L 618.92/8589 Disciplina Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder - Diagnosis Soggetti Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder - Treatment - Evaluation Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 259-280) and indexes. Nota di contenuto Diagnostic Criteria: A Historical Perspective -- Primary Characteristics and Associated Features -- Implications for Assessment -- Assessment Procedures -- Establishing a Diagnosis -- Planning Treatment --Providing Feedback -- Assessing Treatment Outcome. Over the past two decades, the assessment of Attention-Sommario/riassunto Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD) has evolved into a sophisticated balance of science and clinical judgement essential for arriving at reliable and valid diagnostic de-sions. Because of the precarious mix of clinical and empirical skill needed to evaluate children with this disorder, diagnostic practice in this area has been found wanting by many critics. In fact, a 1998 National Institutes of Health consensus panel concluded that "existing diagnostic treatment practices ... point to the need for improved awareness by the health service sector conceing an appropriate assessment, treatment, and follow-up. A more consistent set of diagnostic procedures and practice guidelines is of utmost importance" (p. 21). Drs. Arthur D. Anastopoulos and Terri L. Shelton have designed a book that addresses this need. A number of themes are highlighted throughout the text. Perhaps the most

important is that the assessment guidelines set forth in this book

represent a balance between science and practice. The authors account for the realities of clinical practice in an age of managed care while challenging clinicians to heed the lessons of empirical research. Although the use of empirically based asse- ment procedures may at times fly in the face of cost constraints (e. g. , systematic evaluation of medication effects), the authors present a strong argument for them. Further, they call upon their vast clinical experience to provide concrete suggestions for translating research findings into effective evaluations.