Record Nr. UNINA9910454058703321 Metametaphysics [[electronic resource]]: new essays on the **Titolo** foundations of ontology / / edited by David Chalmers, David Manley. and Ryan Wasserman Oxford.: Clarendon Press Pubbl/distr/stampa New York,: Oxford University Press, 2009 **ISBN** 1-282-05334-5 9786612053344 0-19-156768-X Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (540 p.) Altri autori (Persone) ChalmersDavid John <1966-> ManleyDavid WassermanRyan Disciplina 110.1 Soggetti Ontology Metaphysics Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali This volume grew out of two conferences, one held at the Australian National University in June 2005, and another held at Boise State University in Mar. 2007. Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Contents; List of Contributors; 1. Introduction: A Guided Tour of Metametaphysics; 2. Composition, Colocation, and Metaontology; 3. Ontological Anti-Realism; 4. Carnap and Ontological Pluralism; 5. The Question of Ontology; 6. The Metaontology of Abstraction; 7. Superficialism in Ontology; 8. Ontology and Alternative Languages; 9. Ambitious, Yet Modest, Metaphysics; 10. Ways of Being; 11. Metaphysics after Carnap: The Ghost Who Walks?; 12. On What Grounds What; 13. Ontological Realism; 14. Ontology, Analyticity, and Meaning: the Quine-Carnap Dispute; 15. Answerable and Unanswerable Questions 16. Being, Existence, and Ontological Commitment17. Must Existence-Questions have Answers?; Index This volume investigates the status and ambitions of metaphysics as a

Sommario/riassunto

discipline. It brings together many of the central figures in the debate with their most recent work on the semantics, epistemology, and methodology of metaphysics. -; Metaphysics asks questions about existence: for example, do numbers really exist? Metametaphysics asks. questions about metaphysics: for example, do its questions have determinate answers? If so, are these answers deep and important, or are they merely a matter of how we use words? What is the proper methodology for their resolution? These questions have recei