

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910453254103321
Autore	Fry James D. <1975->
Titolo	Legal resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes // James D. Fry [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2013
ISBN	1-107-70309-3 1-139-89329-7 1-107-61734-0 1-139-64951-5 1-107-59862-1 1-107-69251-2 1-107-70392-1 1-107-66896-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (x, 504 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	341.7/34
Soggetti	Nuclear nonproliferation Dispute resolution (Law) Diplomatic negotiations in international disputes
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	1. Foundational elements of the study -- 2. Definitions, delimitations and disclaimers of the study -- Part II. Security Council Involvement with Nuclear Non-Proliferation Disputes under UN Charter Chapter VII: -- 3. Exploring potential problems with Security Council involvement -- Part III. Legal Resolution and Nuclear Non-Proliferation Disputes: -- 4. The history of legal resolution with similarly sensitive disputes as nuclear non-proliferation disputes -- 5. Jurisdiction over nuclear non-proliferation disputes -- 6. Justiciability of nuclear non-proliferation disputes.
Sommario/riassunto	How viable is the resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes through the International Court of Justice and international arbitration? James Fry examines the compromissory clauses in the IAEA Statute, IAEA Safeguards Agreements and the Convention on the Physical

Protection of Nuclear Material that give jurisdiction to these fora and analyses recent jurisprudence to demonstrate how legal resolution can handle such politically sensitive disputes. In sum, legal resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes represents an option that States and commentators have all too often ignored. The impartiality and procedural safeguards of legal resolution should make it an acceptable option for target States and the international community, especially vis-a-vis the procedural shortcomings and general heavy-handedness of Security Council involvement under UN Charter Chapter VII.

---