Record Nr. UNINA9910453138203321 Autore Sadeghi Behnam <1969-> Titolo The logic of law-making in Islam: women and prayer in the legal tradition / / Behnam Sadeghi [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2013 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-139-88831-5 1-139-79378-0 1-139-78340-8 1-107-52978-6 1-139-77637-1 1-139-78240-1 0-511-92050-4 1-139-77941-9 1-139-77789-0 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xxi, 215 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Collana Cambridge studies in Islamic civilization Disciplina 297.3/82082 Soggetti Islamic law - Methodology Islamic law - Philosophy Islamic law - Interpretation and construction Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto A general model -- Preliminaries -- Women praying with men: adjacency -- Women praying with women -- Women praying with men : communal prayers -- The historical development of Hanafi reasoning -- From laws and values -- The logic of law making -- Appendix. The authenticity of early Hanafi texts: two books of al-Shaybani. Sommario/riassunto This pioneering study examines the process of reasoning in Islamic law. Some of the key questions addressed here include whether sacred law operates differently from secular law, why laws change or stay the same and how different cultural and historical settings impact the development of legal rulings. In order to explore these questions, the author examines the decisions of thirty jurists from the largest legal tradition in Islam: the Hanafi school of law. He traces their rulings on

the question of women and communal prayer across a very broad period of time - from the eighth to the eighteenth century - to demonstrate how jurists interpreted the law and reconciled their decisions with the scripture and the sayings of the Prophet. The result is a fascinating overview of how Islamic law has evolved and the thinking behind individual rulings.