Record Nr. UNINA9910453099503321 Autore Collins Paul M., Jr Titolo Supreme Court confirmation hearings and constitutional change // Paul M. Collins, Jr., University of North Texas, Lori A. Ringhand, University of Georgia [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2013 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-139-89191-X 1-107-27211-4 1-107-50265-9 1-107-27420-6 1-139-62645-0 1-107-27869-4 1-107-27544-X 1-107-27746-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xvi, 296 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Disciplina 347.73/2634 Soggetti Judges - Selection and appointment - United States Constitutional law - United States Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. A confirmation process worth celebrating -- How it works : the nuts Nota di contenuto and bolts of the confirmation process -- Public opinion and precedent at confirmation hearings -- An issue-by-issue look at the hearings --The discussion of precendent at the hearings -- Confirmation conditions -- The 104th justice -- Currently contested constitutional questions -- Our constitution. Sommario/riassunto Before Supreme Court nominees are allowed to take their place on the High Court, they must face a moment of democratic reckoning by appearing before the Senate Judiciary Committee. Despite the potential this holds for public input into the direction of legal change, the hearings are routinely derided as nothing but empty rituals and political grandstanding. In this book, Paul M. Collins and Lori A.

Ringhand present a contrarian view that uses both empirical data and

stories culled from more than seventy years of transcripts to demonstrate that the hearings are a democratic forum for the discussion and ratification of constitutional change. As such, they are one of the ways in which 'We the People' take ownership of the Constitution by examining the core constitutional values of those permitted to interpret it on our behalf.