Record Nr. UNINA9910453029303321 Habermas and Rawls: disputing the political / / edited by James **Titolo** Gordon Finlayson and Fabian Freyenhagen; with help from James Gledhill New York:,: Rouledge,, 2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 0-203-72386-4 1-283-88842-4 1-135-76732-7 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (329 p.) Routledge studies in contemporary philosophy;; 23 Collana Altri autori (Persone) FinlaysonJames Gordon FreyenhagenFabian GledhillJames Disciplina 320.092/2 Soggetti Political science - Philosophy Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Introduction: the Habermas Rawls dispute: analysis and re-evaluation / James Gordon Finlayson and Fabian Freyenhagen -- Reconciliation through the public reason: remarks on John Rawls' political liberalism / Jurgen Habermas -- Political liberalism: reply to Habermas / John Rawls -- Reasonable versus true, or, The morality of world views / Jurgen Habermas -- Justice: transcendental not metaphysical / Joseph Heath -- The justice of justification / Anthony Simon Laden -- The justification of justice: Rawls and Habermas in dialogue / Rainer Forst -- Procedure in substance and substance in procedure : reframing the Habermas-Rawls debate / James Gledhill -- Habermas, Rawls, and moral impartiality / Chris McMahon -- Rawls and Habermas on the place of religion in the political domain / Catherine Audard -- Models of human rights: extending the Rawls-Habermas debate / Jeffrey Flynn -- Beyond overlapping consensus : Rawls and Habermas on the limits of cosmopolitanism / Jim Bohman -- A reply to my critics / Jurgen Habermas.

Habermas and Rawls are two heavyweights of social and political

Sommario/riassunto

philosophy, and they are undoubtedly the two most written about (and widely read) authors in this field. However, there has not been much informed and interesting work on the points of intersection between their projects, partly because their work comes from different traditions-roughly the European tradition of social and political theory and the Anglo-American analytic tradition of political philosophy. In this volume, contributors re-examine the Habermas-Rawls dispute with an eye toward the ways in which the dispute can cast