

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr. | UNINA9910453013403321 |
| Autore | Alvarez R. Michael <1964-> |
| Titolo | Evaluating elections : a handbook of methods and standards // R. Michael Alvarez, Lonna Rae Atkeson, Thad E. Hall [[electronic resource]] |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa | Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2013 |
| ISBN | 1-139-79433-7 1-316-09020-5 1-139-22654-1 1-139-78296-7 1-107-25477-9 1-139-77997-4 1-139-77693-2 1-283-81803-5 1-139-77845-5 |
| Descrizione fisica | 1 online resource (x, 173 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) |
| Disciplina | 324.6/5 |
| Soggetti | Elections - United States Elections |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese |
| Formato | Materiale a stampa |
| Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
| Note generali | Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). |
| Nota di bibliografia | Includes bibliographical references and index. |
| Nota di contenuto | Machine generated contents note: Introduction. Performance-Based Evaluation of Election Administration; 1. The electoral ecosystem; 2. Easily available data for performance evaluation; 3. Measuring the experiences of voters; 4. Measuring the performance of poll workers; 5. Auditing the election ecosystem; 6. Election observation. |
| Sommario/riassunto | In competitive and contested democratic elections, insuring integrity is critical. Evaluating Elections shows why systematic analysis and reporting of election performance is important and how data-driven performance management can be used by election officials to improve elections. The authors outline how performance management systems can function in elections and their benefits for voters, candidates and political parties. Journalists, election administrators and even candidates often ask whether recent elections were run well, whether |

there were problems in the administration of a particular state's elections and how well elections were run across the country. The authors explain that such questions are difficult to answer because of the complexity of election administration and because there is currently no standard or accepted framework to assess the general quality of an election.
