

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910452747103321
Autore	Kashiwase Kenichiro
Titolo	Pension reforms in Japan [[electronic resource] /] / prepared by Kenichiro Kashiwase, Masahiro Nozaki, and Kiichi Tokuoka
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C., : International Monetary Fund, c2012
ISBN	1-4755-7345-6 1-4755-9735-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (22 p.)
Collana	IMF working paper ; ; WP/12/285
Altri autori (Persone)	NozakiMasahiro TokuokaKiichi
Soggetti	Pensions - Japan Retirement income - Japan Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Abstract; Contents; I. Introduction; Figures; 1. Japan: Population Aging in Japan and OECD Countries; 2. Japan: Social Security Spending; II. The Pension System and Past Reforms in Japan; 3. Japan: Public Pension System; 4. Japan: NP and EPI Pension Spending and Contributions, 2010-2100; Boxes; 1. Japan: How Does Macro Indexing Work?; III. Pension Reform Options to Reduce the Fiscal Burden; Tables; 1. Japan: Options to Reduce Government for Basic Pension; 2. Japan: Growth Impact of Pension Reform Options; A. Raise Pension Eligibility Age 5. Japan: Life Expectancy after Pension Eligibility Age, 2000-20306. OECD Countries: Pension Eligibility Age and Life Expectancy in 2010 and 2030; B. Lower Replacement Ratio; 7. Pension Benefit Replacement Rate for Single Earner Couples; 3. Japan: Old-age Poverty in Japan and the Role of Pensions; C. Higher Contribution Rates; 8. Pension Contribution Rate, 2009; D. Reducing Preferential Treatments; IV. Conclusion; Appendices; I. Methodologies to Calculate Fiscal Savings from Reform Options; References
Sommario/riassunto	This paper analyzes various reform options for Japan's public pension in light of large fiscal consolidation needs of the country. The most

attractive option is to increase the pension eligibility age in line with high and rising life expectancy. This would have a positive effect on long-run economic growth and would be relatively fair in sharing the burden of fiscal adjustment between younger and older generations. Other attractive options include better targeting by ?clawing back? a small portion of pension benefits from wealthy retirees, reducing preferential tax treatment of pension benefi
