

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910452592603321
Autore	Schmidt Brian
Titolo	International Relations and the First Great Debate [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Hoboken, : Taylor and Francis, 2012
ISBN	1-136-31911-5 1-280-68237-X 9786613659316 1-136-31912-3 0-203-12011-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (193 p.)
Collana	New International Relations
Disciplina	327.09 327.101
Soggetti	Idealism -- History -- 20th century International relations -- Philosophy -- History -- 20th century Realism -- History -- 20th century International relations - Philosophy - History - 20th century Idealism - History - 20th century Realism - History - 20th century Law, Politics & Government International Relations Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Title; Copyright Page; Dedication; CONTENTS; CONTRIBUTORS; FOREWORD; SERIES EDITOR'S PREFACE; ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS; 1 INTRODUCTION; 2 THE MYTH THE 'FIRST GREAT DEBATE'; 3 REREADING EARLY TWENTIETH-CENTURY IR THEORY: Idealism revisited; 4 DID THE REALIST-IDEALIST GREAT DEBATE REALLY HAPPEN? A revisionist history of International Relations; 5 C. A. W. MANNING AND THE FIRST GREAT DEBATE; 6 THE AMERICAN NATIONAL INTEREST GREAT DEBATE; 7 MYTH, HALF-TRUTH, REALITY, OR STRATEGY? Managing disciplinary identity and the origins of the first great debate

8 WHERE ARE WE NOW IN THE DEBATE ABOUT THE FIRST GREAT DEBATE? BIBLIOGRAPHY; INDEX

Sommario/riassunto

This book provides an authoritative account of the controversy about the first great debate in the field of International Relations. Of all the self-images of International Relations, none is as pervasive and enduring as the notion that a great debate pitting idealists against realists took place in the 1940s. The story of the first great debate continues to structure the contemporary identity of International Relations, yet in recent years revisionist historians have challenged the conventional wisdom that the field experienced such a debate. Drawing on expert contributors working i