Record Nr. UNINA9910452000503321 Autore Givelber Daniel **Titolo** Not guilty [[electronic resource]]: are the acquitted innocent? // Daniel Givelber and Amy Farrell New York,: New York University Press, 2012 Pubbl/distr/stampa 0-8147-4440-0 **ISBN** 0-8147-2534-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (228 p.) Altri autori (Persone) FarrellAmy Disciplina 345.73/0122 Judicial error - United States Soggetti Criminal procedure - United States Criminal justice, Administration of - United States Jury - United States Judges - United States Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Introduction: invisible innocence -- Judge and jury decisions to acquit: Note generali what we know from social research -- Screening for innocence --Understanding why judges and juries disagree about criminal case outcomes: are jury verdicts an expression of sentiment? -- The defense case -- The impact of race on judge and jury decision making. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Frontmatter -- Contents -- Tables -- Preface -- Acknowledgments --Nota di contenuto 1. Introduction -- 2. Judge and Jury Decisions to Acquit -- 3. Screening for Innocence -- 4. Understanding Why Judges and Juries Disagree about Criminal Case Outcomes -- 5. The Defense Case -- 6. The Impact of Race on Judge and Jury Decision Making -- 7. Conclusion --Appendix A -- Appendix B -- Appendix C -- Notes -- Bibliography --Index -- About the Authors Sommario/riassunto As scores of death row inmates are exonerated by DNA evidence and innocence commissions are set up across the country, conviction of the innocent has become a well-recognized problem. But our justice system makes both kinds of errors—we acquit the guilty and convict the innocent—and exploring the reasons why people are acquitted can

help us to evaluate the efficiency and fairness of our criminal justice

system. Not Guilty provides a sustained examination and analysis of the factors that lead juries to find defendants "not guilty," as well as the connection between those factors and the possibility of factual innocence, examining why some criminal trials result in not guilty verdicts and what those verdicts suggest about the accuracy of our criminal process.