1. Record Nr. UNINA9910451709403321 Autore Greene Kenneth F. <1969-> **Titolo** Why dominant parties lose: Mexico's democratization in comparative perspective / / Kenneth F. Greene [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2007 Pubbl/distr/stampa 1-107-18288-3 **ISBN** 1-281-04059-2 9786611040598 1-139-13310-1 0-511-33495-8 0-511-33435-4 0-511-33367-6 0-511-50980-4 0-511-33553-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xvi, 350 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Disciplina 324.2 Soggetti One-party systems Opposition (Political science) **Democratization - Mexico** Presidents - Mexico - Election - 2000 Comparative government Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Introduction: The puzzle of single-party dominance -- A theory of single-party dominance and opposition party development --Dominant party advantages and opposition party failure, 1930s-1990s -- Why participate? : a theory of elite activism in dominant party systems -- The empirical dynamics of elite activism -- Constrained to the core: opposition party organizations, 1980s-1990s -- Dominance defeated: voting behavior in the 2000 elections -- Extending the argument: Italy, Japan, Malaysia, and Taiwan -- Conclusions and implications.

The puzzle of single-party dominance -- A theory of single-party

dominance and opposition party development -- Dominant party advantages and opposition party failure, 1930s-1990s -- Why participate? : a theory of elite activism in dominant party systems -- The empirical dynamics of elite activism -- Constrained to the core : opposition party organizations, 1980s-1990s -- Dominance defeated : voting behavior in the 2000 elections -- Extending the argument : Italy, Japan, Malaysia, and Taiwan.

## Sommario/riassunto

Why have dominant parties persisted in power for decades in countries spread across the globe? Why did most eventually lose? Why Dominant Parties Lose develops a theory of single-party dominance, its durability, and its breakdown into fully competitive democracy. Greene shows that dominant parties turn public resources into patronage goods to bias electoral competition in their favor and virtually win elections before election day without resorting to electoral fraud or bone-crushing repression. Opposition parties fail because their resource disadvantages force them to form as niche parties with appeals that are out of step with the average voter. When the political economy of dominance erodes, the partisan playing field becomes fairer and opposition parties can expand into catchall competitors that threaten the dominant party at the polls. Greene uses this argument to show why Mexico transformed from a dominant party authoritarian regime under PRI rule to a fully competitive democracy.