

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910451454703321  |
| Autore                  | Cram Ian   |
| Titolo                  | Contested words [[electronic resource] ] : legal restrictions on freedom of speech in liberal democracies // Ian Cram  |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Aldershot, Hampshire, England ; ; Burlington, VT, : Ashgate, c2006   |
| ISBN                    | 1-317-16029-0<br>1-281-09663-6<br>9786611096632<br>0-7546-8031-2   |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (244 p.)   |
| Collana                 | Applied legal philosophy   |
| Disciplina              | 342'.0853  |
| Soggetti                | Freedom of expression<br>Freedom of speech<br>Constitutional law - Philosophy<br>Electronic books.   |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references (p. [215]-227) and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Cover; Contents; Preface; Series Editor's Preface; 1 Current Controversies in Freedom of Expression; 2 Rights-based Judicial Review, Constitutional Cultures and Expressive Freedom; 3 Political Expression: Political Parties, Voters and Candidates; 4 Comparative Constitutional Issues Arising from the Regulation of Election Campaign Finance; 5 Wounding Words: The Constitutional Challenge Posed by Hate Speech in Modern Liberal Democracies; 6 Sexually Explicit Expression and the Courts<br>7 Advertising, Autonomy and Proportionality: Constitutional Arguments Surrounding the Regulation of Commercial Expression<br>8 Conclusion: Protecting Expression as a Constitutional Value; Bibliography; Index |
| Sommario/riassunto      | In modern liberal democracies, rights-based judicial intervention in the policy choices of elected bodies has always been controversial. For some, judicial intervention has tended to trivialize and impoverish democratic politics. For others, judges are be  |