Record Nr. UNINA9910451121003321 Autore Webster Jeremy W Titolo Performing libertinism in Charles II's court [[electronic resource]]: politics, drama, sexuality / / Jeremy W. Webster New York, : Palgrave Macmillan, 2005 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-281-36844-X 9786611368449 1-4039-8028-4 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (262 p.) Disciplina 822.409353 822/.409353 Soggetti English drama - Restoration, 1660-1700 - History and criticism Libertinism in literature Politics and literature - Great Britain - History - 17th century Sex in literature Electronic books. Great Britain Court and courtiers History 17th century Great Britain Politics and government 1660-1688 Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Based on the author's thesis (doctoral, University of Tennesee). Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. [209]-243) and index. Cover; Contents; Acknowledgments; 1 Performing Libertinism: An Nota di contenuto Introduction: 2 Producing Libertine Politics: The Rehearsal: 3 Staging Libertine Conduct: Love in a Wood, The Gentleman Dancing-Master, and The Country Wife; 4 Scripting Libertine Tricksters: The Man of Mode and The Plain Dealer; 5 Enacting Libertine Isolation: Antony and Cleopatra and The Tragedy of Valentinian; 6 Censuring Libertine Sexuality: Sodom; 7 Conclusion; Notes; Bibliography; Index Sommario/riassunto Performing Libertinism in Charles II's Court examines the performative nature of Restoration libertinism through reports of libertine activities and texts of libertine plays within the context of the fraternization between George Villiers, Duke of Buckingham, John Wilmot, Earl of Rochester, Sir Charles Sedley, Sir George Etherege, and William

Wycherley. Webster argues that libertines, both real and imagined.

performed traditionally secretive acts, including excessive drinking, sex, sedition, and sacrilege, in the public sphere. This eruption of the private into the public challenged a Stuart ideology that distinguished between the nation's public life and the king's and his subjects' private consciences.