1.

Record Nr.	UNISA990001135190203316
Autore	SCHOLTZ, Gunter
Titolo	"Historismus" als spekulative Geschichtsphilosophie : Christlieb J. Braniss (1792-1873) / Gunter Scholtz
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Frankfurt am Main : V. Klostermann, copyr. 1973
Descrizione fisica	VII, 158 p. ; 24 cm
Collana	Studien zur Philosophie und Literatur des neunzehnten Jahrhunderts ; 19
Disciplina	193
Soggetti	Filosofia - Germania - Sec. 19 Braniss
Collocazione	II.1.D. 1261(IV B 325)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Tedesco
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia

2. Record Nr. UNINA9910450393203321

Autore	Klein David E. <1970->
Titolo	Making law in the United States Courts of Appeals / / David E. Klein [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2002
ISBN	1-107-12509-X 1-280-41947-4 0-511-17735-6 0-511-02098-8 0-511-15832-7 0-511-30495-1 0-511-61370-9 0-511-04498-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (x, 180 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	347.73/24
Soggetti	Appellate courts - United States Judicial process - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 171-178) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Half-title; Title; Copyright; Contents; Tables; Acknowledgments; 1 Law Making in a Hierarchical Judicial System; 2 Theory and Hypotheses; 3 The Cases; 4 Influences on Circui Judges Responses: Case Evidence; 5 Influences on Circuit Judges Responses: Interview Evidence; 6 Anticipating the Supreme Court; 7 Implications and Future Directions; Appendix A Rules and Cases; Appendix B Interview Questions; References; Index
Sommario/riassunto	The book, first published in 2002, examines circuit court decision making on issues not clearly covered by existing precedents. Its central questions are to what extent circuit judges' choices to adopt legal rules are influenced by the actions of other circuit judges and whether judges attempt to decide legal issues as they think the Supreme Court would in their place. Evidence comes from quantitative analyses of several hundred cases and from interviews with two dozen circuit court

judges. The evidence indicates that judges give attention to the work of colleagues on their own court and other circuits and that the actions, prestige, and expertise of these colleagues are important. On the other hand, while Supreme Court precedents factor heavily in the circuit judges' decisions, expectations as to how the Supreme Court might decide appear to have little effect on their actions. These findings suggest that legal and policy goals influence judges' decision-making.