Decend Nr	
Record Nr.	UNINA9910450163703321
Autore	Schreurs Miranda A (Miranda Alice), <1963->
Titolo	Environmental politics in Japan, Germany, and the United States / / Miranda A. Schreurs [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2002
ISBN	1-107-12610-X
	1-280-43054-0
	0-511-17702-X
	0-511-04283-3
	0-511-15793-2
	0-511-30476-5
	0-511-49114-X
	0-511-05460-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xiii, 291 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	363.7/056/0952
Soggetti	Environmental policy - Japan
	Environmental policy - Germany
	Environmental policy - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 08 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 262-282) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction The birth of environmental movements and programs The institutionalization of environmental movements Acid rain: signs of policy divergence Stratospheric ozone depletion Global climate change: the road to UNCED Global climate change: the battle over Kyoto Global environmental politics and environmental policy communities Domestic politics and the global environment: Japan, Germany, and the US compared.
Sommario/riassunto	A decade of climate change negotiations almost ended in failure because of the different policy approaches of the industrialized states.

1.

increasingly guided by what is known as the precautionary principle. In contrast, the US is increasingly leaning away from the use of environmental regulations, towards the use of market-based mechanisms to control pollution and cost-benefit analysis to determine when environmental protection should take precedence over economic activities. Internal political divisions mean that Japan sits uneasily between these two approaches. Miranda A. Schreurs uses a variety of case studies to explore why these different policy approaches emerged and what their implications are, examining the differing ideas, actors, and institutions in each state.