

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910449719603321
Autore	Colker Ruth
Titolo	The disability pendulum [[electronic resource]] : the first decade of the Americans with Disabilities Act / / Ruth Colker
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : New York University Press, c2005
ISBN	0-8147-9037-2 1-4294-1395-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (262 p.)
Collana	Critical America
Disciplina	342.7308/7
Soggetti	People with disabilities - Legal status, laws, etc - United States - History - 20th century Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 213-235) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction : high hope followed by public backlash -- The ADA's journey through Congress -- ADA Title I : an empirical investigation -- The face of judicial backlash -- ADA Title II : the Supreme Court, the courts of appeals and the states : a swinging pendulum -- ADA Title III : a fragile compromise -- Dissing Congress.
Sommario/riassunto	Signed into law in July 1990, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective two years later, and court decisions about the law began to multiply in the middle of the decade. In <i>The Disability Pendulum</i> , Ruth Colker presents the first legislative history of the enactment of the ADA in Congress and analyzes the first decade of judicial decisions under the act. She assesses the success and failure of the first ten years of litigation under the ADA, focusing on its three major titles: employment, public entities, and public accommodations. The <i>Disability Pendulum</i> argues that despite an initial atmosphere of bipartisan support with the expectation that the ADA would make a significant difference in the lives of individuals with disabilities, judicial decisions have not been consistent with Congress' intentions. The courts have operated like a pendulum, at times swinging to a pro-disabled plaintiff and then back again to a pro-defendant stance. Colker, whose work on the ADA has been cited by the Supreme Court, offers insightful and practical suggestions on where to amend the act

to make it more effective in defending disability rights, and also explains judicial hostility toward enforcing the act.
