

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910424636003321
Titolo	Does neuroscience have normative implications? / / edited by Geoffrey S. Holtzman, Elisabeth Hildt
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham, Switzerland : , : Springer, , [2020] Â©2020
ISBN	3-030-56134-8
Edizione	[1st ed. 2020.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XV, 211 p. 1 illus.)
Collana	The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology, , 1875-0044 ; ; 22
Disciplina	174.2928
Soggetti	Bioethics Neurosciences Moral Philosophy65
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	1. The Neuroscience of Human Morality: Three Levels of Normative Implications (Jon Leefmann) -- 2. Moral Responsibility and Perceived Threats from Neuroscience (Myrto Mylopoulos) -- 3. Lessons for Ethics from the Science of Pain (Jennifer Corns and Robert Cowan) -- 4. Two Theories of Moral Cognition (Julia Haas) -- 5. Rethinking Moral Motivation: How Neuroscience Supports an Alternative to Motivation Internalism (Chris Zarpentine) -- 6. The Reactive Roots of Retribution: Normative Implications of the Neuroscience of Punishment (Isaac Wiegman) -- 7. Normative Implications of Neuroscience and Sociobiology – Intended and Perceived (Ullica Segerstrale) -- 8. Nervous Norms (Matthew Ruble) -- 9. Neuromodulation of the “Moral Brain” – Evaluating Bridges Between Neural Foundations of Moral Capacities and Normative Aims of the Intervention (Christian Ineichen and Markus Christen) -- 10. Autistic Moral Agency and Integrative Neuroethics (Bongrae Seok).
Sommario/riassunto	This book brings together a number of essays that are optimistic about the ways certain neuroscientific insights might advance philosophical ethics, and other essays that are more circumspect about the relevance of neuroscience to philosophical ethics. As a whole, the essays form a self-reflective body of work that simultaneously seeks to derive

normative ethical implications from neuroscience, and to question whether and how that may be possible at all. In doing so, the collection brings together psychology, neuroscience, philosophy of mind, ethics, and philosophy of science. Neuroscience seeks to understand the biological systems that guide human behavior and cognition. Normative ethics, on the other hand, seeks to understand the system of abstract moral principles dictating how people ought to behave. By studying how the human brain makes moral judgments, can philosophers learn anything about the nature of morality itself? A growing number of researchers believe that neuroscience can, indeed, provide insights into the questions of philosophical ethics. However, even these advocates acknowledge that the path from neuroscientific to normative ethical ought can be quite fraught. .
